

Six lectures on the stationary tower

Paul B. Larson

November 19, 2012

1 The stationary tower

1.1 Definition. Let X be a nonempty set. A set $c \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is *club* in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ if there is a function $f: X^{<\omega} \rightarrow X$ for which c is the set of $A \subset X$ closed under f . Given a cardinal $\kappa \leq |X|$, c is *club* in $[X]^\kappa$ (or in $[X]^{<\kappa}$) if c is the set of $A \in [X]^\kappa$ (or $[X]^{<\kappa}$) closed under f . A set $a \subset \mathcal{P}(X)$ is *stationary* in $\mathcal{P}(X)$ if it intersects every club subset of $\mathcal{P}(X)$, and *stationary* in $[X]^\kappa$ (or $[X]^{<\kappa}$) if it intersects every c which is club in $[X]^\kappa$ (or $[X]^{<\kappa}$).

If c is club in $\mathcal{P}(X)$, then $\bigcup c = X$, so we can simply say that c is *club* if it is club in $\bigcup c$. Similarly, if a is stationary in $\mathcal{P}(X)$, then $\bigcup a = X$, so we can simply say that a is *stationary* if it is stationary in $\mathcal{P}(\bigcup a)$.

The two following facts were discussed previously.

1.2 Remark. For any first order structure on a nonempty set X , a Skolem function for the structure induces a club of elementary substructures.

Lemma 1.3 (The projection lemma for stationary sets). *Suppose that $X \subseteq Y$ are nonempty sets, and $\kappa \leq |X|$ is a cardinal.*

1. *If a is stationary in $\mathcal{P}(Y)$, then $\{B \cap X \mid B \in a\}$ is stationary in $\mathcal{P}(X)$.*
2. *If a is stationary in $\mathcal{P}(X)$, then $\{B \subset Y \mid B \cap X \in a\}$ is stationary in $\mathcal{P}(Y)$.*
3. *If a is stationary in $[X]^\kappa$, then $\{B \in [Y]^\kappa \mid B \cap X \in a\}$ is stationary in $[Y]^\kappa$.*
4. *If a is stationary in $[X]^{<\kappa}$, then $\{B \in [Y]^{<\kappa} \mid B \cap X \in a\}$ is stationary in $[Y]^\kappa$.*

Lemma 1.4 (Normality for stationary sets). *Suppose that a is a stationary set, and that $f: a \rightarrow \bigcup a$ is such that $f(X) \in X$ for all $X \in a$. Then there is a $z \in \bigcup a$ such that $f^{-1}[\{z\}]$ is stationary.*

Proof. Otherwise, for each $z \in \bigcup a$ there is a function $g_z: (\bigcup a)^{<\omega} \rightarrow \bigcup a$ such that no $X \in f^{-1}[\{z\}]$ is closed under g_z . Fix a function $h: (\bigcup a)^{<\omega} \rightarrow \bigcup a$ with the property that $h(z, \bar{y}) = g_z(\bar{y})$ for all $z \in \bigcup a$ and $y \in (\bigcup a)^{<\omega}$. Then if $X \in a$ is closed under h we get a contradiction by considering $z = f(X)$. \square

1.5 Definition. We define the following order on stationary sets : $a \leq b$ if $\bigcup b \subseteq \bigcup a$, and

$$\{X \cap \bigcup b \mid X \in a\} \subseteq b.$$

Note that if two stationary sets a, b are compatible in this order, they have a greatest lower bound : $\{X \subseteq (\bigcup a) \cup (\bigcup b) \mid X \cap \bigcup a \in a \wedge X \cap \bigcup b \in b\}$.

1.6 Definition (The stationary tower). Given a limit ordinal $\alpha > \omega$, we let $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ the (*full tower*) be the restriction to the stationary sets in V_α of the order given in Definition 1.5. Given a cardinal $\kappa < |V_\alpha|$, we let $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$ (the *size- κ tower*, or *countable tower* in the case where $\kappa = \aleph_0$) be the restriction of $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ to those stationary sets a for which $a \subseteq [\bigcup a]^\kappa$. We write \mathbb{Q}_α for $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha^{\aleph_0}$.

Suppose that $\alpha > \omega$ is a limit ordinal, and that $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ (or $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$, for some cardinal $\kappa < |V_\alpha|$), we form $\text{Ult}(V, G)$ as follows. Elements of the generic ultrapower are represented by functions $f: a \rightarrow V$, where $a \in G$ and $f \in V$. Given such functions f and g and a relation $R \in \{\in, =\}$, we say that $[f]_G R [g]_G$ if and only if $\{X \subseteq (\bigcup a) \cup (\bigcup b) \mid X \cap \bigcup a \in a \wedge X \cap \bigcup b \in b \wedge f(X \cap \bigcup a) R g(X \cap \bigcup b)\} \in G$. As usual, we identify the wellfounded part of $\text{Ult}(V, G)$ with its Mostowski collapse, and denote $\text{Ult}(V, G)$ with a single capital letter if it is wellfounded.

1.7 Remark. Suppose that $c \in \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ is club or that $c \in \mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$ is the intersection of some club subset of $\bigcup c$ with $[\bigcup c]^\kappa$. Then c is an element of every generic filter for its corresponding partial order. The generic ultrapower $\text{Ult}(V, G)$ could alternately defined using functions of the form $f: c \rightarrow V$ for c 's of this type.

We have seen the proof of the following lemma several times already.

Lemma 1.8. *Suppose that G is as in the previous paragraph. For each stationary a and each set x , let $c_x^a: a \rightarrow \{x\}$ be the corresponding constant function. Then for every set x , $[c_x^a]_G = [c_x^b]_G$ for all $a, b \in G$. The map $j: V \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, G)$ sending each x to the common value of $[c_x^a]_G$ for all $a \in G$ is elementary. x*

1.9 Remark. Forcing with $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ (or $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$) adds a V -ultrafilter $G_X = G \cap \mathcal{P}(\mathcal{P}(X))$ on $\mathcal{P}(X)$, for each suitable $X \in V_\alpha$ (note that these G_X are not necessarily V -generic for the (suitable version of the) partial order of stationary subsets of $\mathcal{P}(X)$ modulo nonstationarity). Let $j_X: V \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, G_X)$ be the corresponding elementary embedding for each X . Then if $X \subseteq Y$ are in V_α , there are elementary embeddings $k_{X,Y}: \text{Ult}(V, G_X) \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, G_Y)$ defined by setting $k_{X,Y}([f]_{G_X}) = [f^Y]_{G_Y}$, where f^Y is defined by setting $f^Y(Z) = f(Z \cap X)$. Similarly, there are elementary embeddings $k_{X,\infty}: \text{Ult}(V, G_X) \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, G)$ defined by setting $k_{X,\infty}([f]_{G_X}) = [f]_G$. The word *tower* refers to the fact that the stationary tower ultrapower can be seen as a direct limit of generic ultrapowers via the G_X 's.

1.10 Remark. For any nonempty set X , $\{X\}$ is stationary. In any suitable $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$, the condition $\{X\}$ is in the generic filter G if and only if the filter G_X as defined in the previous remark is principal.

Lemma 1.11. *Let $\alpha > \omega$ be a limit ordinal, and let $G \subset \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ (or $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$) be a V -generic filter.*

1. *For each set $X \in V_\alpha$, and any $a \in G$ with $X \subseteq \bigcup a$ the function i_x on a defined by setting $i_x(Y) = X \cap Y$ represents $j[X]$ in $\text{Ult}(V, G)$.*
2. *The wellfounded part of $\text{Ult}(V, G)$ contains V_α .*
3. *For each $a \in \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ (or $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$), $a \in G$ if and only if $j[\bigcup a] \in j(a)$.*
4. *For each $\beta < \alpha$, $G \cap V_\beta \in \text{Ult}(V, G)$.*

Proof. The first part follows from normality. The second part follows from the fact that for each transitive set X , the transitive collapse of $j[X]$ is X . For the third part, note that $j[\bigcup a]$ is represented by the identity function i on $\mathcal{P}(\bigcup a)$ (or on $[\bigcup a]^\kappa$) and $j(a)$ is represented by the constant function c_a from $\mathcal{P}(\bigcup a)$ (or $[\bigcup a]^\kappa$) to $\{a\}$. Then in the case of $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$, $j[\bigcup a] \in j(a)$ if and only if

$$\{X \subseteq \bigcup a \mid i(X) \in c_a(a)\} \in G,$$

which holds if and only if $a \in G$. The case of $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$ is essentially the same.

For the last part, note that for each $\beta < \alpha$, $j \upharpoonright V_\beta$ is in $\text{Ult}(V, G)$, and $G \cap V_\beta$ is equal to the set of $X \in V_\beta$ for which $j[X] \in j(X)$. \square

1.12 Remark. The previous lemma shows that each element X of V_α is represented by the function (on any suitable domain) which maps each set Y to the transitive collapse of $X \cap Y$. Similarly, it shows that each set of the form $[f]_G$ also has the form $j(f)(j[\bigcup a])$, for j the generic elementary embedding.

1.13 Exercise. Let $\alpha > \omega$ be a limit ordinal, let $G \subset \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ (or $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$) be a V -generic filter, and let $j: V \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, G)$ be the corresponding embedding. Then, for any pair of ordinals $\beta \leq \delta$ below α , and any relation $R \in \{\leq, =, \geq\}$, $j(\beta) R \delta$ if and only if

$$\{X \subset \delta \mid \beta R \text{ot}(X \cap \delta)\} \in G$$

(or $\{X \in [\beta \cup \kappa]^\kappa \mid \beta R \text{ot}(X \cap \delta)\} \in G$). Show that in the case of a generic embedding j induced by $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$, $j(\kappa^+)$ is at least α .

1.14 Exercise. If λ is a cardinal of uncountable cofinality, then λ is a stationary subset of $\mathcal{P}(\bigcup \lambda)$ (but club only in the case $\lambda = \omega_1$). If κ and λ are infinite cardinals, then $\lambda \cap [\bigcup \lambda]^\kappa$ is a stationary subset of $[\bigcup \lambda]^\kappa$ only in the case $\lambda = \kappa^+$.

1.15 Remark. Even in the case $\lambda = \omega_2$ and $\kappa = \omega_1$, $[\bigcup \lambda]^\kappa \setminus \lambda$ can be stationary, i.e., if Chang's Conjecture, the statement that $[\omega_2]^{\omega_1}$ (the set of subsets of ω_2 of ordertype ω_1) is stationary, holds. By Exercise 1.13, the condition $[\omega_2]^{\omega_1}$ (if stationary) forces (in $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_\alpha^{\aleph_1}$) that $j(\omega_1) = \omega_2$.

Lemma 1.16. *If λ is a cardinal of uncountable cofinality, $\alpha > \lambda$ and $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ is a V -generic filter, then $\lambda \in G$ if and only if the critical point of the induced*

elementary embedding is λ . Similarly, if κ is a cardinal, $\lambda \leq \kappa^+$ is a cardinal, $\alpha > \kappa^+$ is a limit ordinal and $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$ is a V -generic filter, then

$$\{X \in [\kappa^+]^\kappa \mid X \cap \lambda \in \lambda\} \in G$$

if and only if λ is the critical point of the induced elementary embedding.

Proof. For the first part, $\lambda \in G$ if and only if $j[\bigcup \lambda] \in j(\lambda)$, which holds if and only if $j[\lambda]$ is an ordinal below $j(\lambda)$, which holds if and only if λ is the critical point of j . Similarly, for the second part, $\{X \in [\kappa^+]^\kappa \mid X \cap \lambda \in \lambda\} \in G$ if and only if $j[\kappa^+] \cap j(\lambda) \in j(\lambda)$, which holds if and only if $j[\kappa^+] \cap j(\lambda)$ is an ordinal below $j(\lambda)$, which holds if and only if λ is the critical point of j . \square

Recall that the cardinals \beth_α are defined by $\beth_0 = \aleph_0$, $\beth_{\alpha+1} = 2^{\beth_\alpha}$ and $\beth_\beta = \sup_{\alpha < \beta} \beth_\alpha$ when β is a limit ordinal. For any ordinal α , $|V_{\omega+\alpha}| = \beth_\alpha$. A \beth -fixed point is a cardinal κ for which $\beth_\kappa = \kappa$, i.e., for which $|V_\kappa| = \kappa$.

Lemma 1.17. *Suppose that κ is a \beth -fixed point, and that $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ is a V -generic filter. Then there is an uncountable regular cardinal $\lambda < \kappa$ such that $\lambda \in G$.*

Proof. Let $a \in V_\kappa$ be a stationary set. By genericity, it suffices to find a regular cardinal $\lambda < \kappa$ such that λ is compatible with a . Applying the fact that κ is a \beth -fixed point, choose a cardinal $\gamma \in (|\bigcup a|, \kappa)$. Let

$$b = \{X \prec V_{\gamma^+} : |X| < \gamma \wedge \gamma \in X \wedge X \cap \bigcup a \in a\}.$$

Then b is stationary, and $b \leq a$. Define the function $f: b \rightarrow (\gamma + 1)$ by letting $f(X)$ be the unique ordinal $\beta \in X$ for which $X \cap \beta \in \beta$. Each value taken by f is a regular cardinal, and there is a cardinal λ such that $c = \{X \in b \mid f(X) = \lambda\}$ is stationary. Then $c \leq b$ and $c \leq \lambda$. \square

1.18 Exercise. Show that if $\alpha > \omega$ is a limit ordinal, then the generic elementary embedding induced by $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ always has a critical point.

1.19 Exercise. Suppose that κ is a cardinal, $\alpha > \kappa^+$ is a limit ordinal and $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$ is a V -generic filter. Show that there exists a $\lambda \leq \kappa^+$ such that $\{X \in [\kappa^+]^\kappa \mid X \cap \lambda \in \lambda\} \in G$.

1.20 Exercise. Suppose that κ is a cardinal and $\alpha > \kappa^+$ is a limit ordinal. Show that forcing with $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$ below the condition $\kappa^+ \setminus \kappa$ adds surjections from κ onto each V_β with $\beta < \alpha$.

1.21 Exercise. Suppose that $V=L$ and that $\alpha > \omega_1$ is a limit ordinal. Show that there is a function $f: \omega_1 \rightarrow \omega_1$ such that $[f]_G > \beta$ for all $\beta < \alpha$, whenever $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}$ is V -generic and j is the associated embedding (see Remark 1.22 of the previous set of notes). Note that $j(\omega_1) > [f]_G$.

1.22 Remark. More generally, whenever we force over L with any forcing of the form $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ or $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$, if the critical point of the associated embedding j is a successor cardinal γ^+ , then $j(\gamma^+)$ is illfounded, as $\text{Ult}(V, G)$ will satisfy $V=L$ but will contain new subsets of γ .

1.23 Extra Credit. Suppose that $\alpha > \omega$ is a limit ordinal but not a \beth -fixed point. Must there be a condition $a \in \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ forcing that the critical point of the induced elementary embedding is at least α ?

2 Completely Jónsson cardinals

2.1 Definition. A strongly inaccessible cardinal κ is *completely Jónsson* if for all $a \in \mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$,

$$\{X \subseteq V_\kappa \mid X \cap \bigcup a \in a \wedge |X \cap \kappa| = \kappa\}$$

is stationary.

2.2 Remark. For any ordinal α and any $a \in \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$, the set

$$\{X \subseteq V_\kappa \mid X \cap \bigcup a \in a \wedge |X \cap \kappa| \leq |\bigcup a|\}$$

is stationary. If κ is completely Jónsson, then for any cardinal λ such that $|\bigcup a| \leq \lambda \leq \kappa$, the set

$$\{X \subseteq V_\kappa \mid X \cap \bigcup a \in a \wedge |X \cap \kappa| = \lambda\}$$

is stationary.

Note that the statement “ κ is completely Jónsson” is computed in $V_{\kappa+1}$.

2.3 Exercise. Show that if we removed from the definition of completely Jónsson the requirement that κ be strongly inaccessible, but required it to be uncountable, it would still follow that κ is a strong limit (indeed, a \beth -fixed point), but not that it has uncountable cofinality.

The argument for the following is based on the end-extension property of elementary submodels with measurable cardinals (Theorem 1.20 of the previous set of notes).

Theorem 2.4. *Measurable cardinals are completely Jónsson, and are limits of completely Jónsson cardinals.*

The following follows from Exercise 1.13 and genericity.

Theorem 2.5. *If κ is a limit of completely Jónsson cardinals, and j is a generic elementary embedding derived from forcing with $\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$, then $j(\lambda) = \lambda$ for cofinally many $\lambda < \kappa$. If κ is regular then it follows that $j(\kappa) = \kappa$.*

2.6 Remark. In contrast to Lemma 1.11, let us see that if κ is a limit of completely Jónsson cardinals, and if $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ is a V -generic filter, then V_κ is not a member of $\text{Ult}(V, G)$. Supposing otherwise, there is for some $\alpha < \kappa$ a stationary $a \subseteq \mathcal{P}(V_\alpha)$ and a function f with domain a forced by a to represent V_κ . We may assume that for each $X \in a$, $f(X)$ is a transitive structure satisfying “there are cofinally many Jónsson cardinals.” Increasing α if necessary, we may assume that α is completely Jónsson and that $\text{ot}(X \cap \alpha) = \alpha$ and $\alpha + 1 \subset f(X)$ hold for all $X \in a$.

At least one of the two following sets is stationary.

- b_1 , the set of $X \in a$ such that, letting $\bar{\beta}$ be the least completely Jónsson cardinal of $f(X)$ above α , $|f(X) \cap \bar{\beta}| = \alpha$.
- b_2 , the set of $X \in a$ such that, letting $\bar{\beta}$ be the least completely Jónsson cardinal of $f(X)$ above α , $|f(X) \cap \bar{\beta}| > \alpha$.

Let β be the least completely Jónsson cardinal above α . If b_1 is stationary, then so is c_1 , the set of $Y \prec V_{\beta+1}$ such that $Y \cap V_\alpha \in b_1$ and $|Y \cap \beta| > \alpha$. If b_2 is stationary, then so is c_2 , the set of $Y \prec V_{\beta+1}$ such that $Y \cap V_\alpha \in b_2$ and $|Y \cap \beta| = \alpha$.

The function h on $\mathcal{P}(V_{\beta+1})$ sending Y to its transitive collapse represents $V_{\beta+1}$. We have then for a (relative) club of $Y \subseteq V_{\beta+1}$ with $Y \cap \bigcup a \in a$ that the transitive collapse of Y is a rank initial segment of $f(Y \cap V_\alpha)$.

However, if b_1 is stationary, then c_1 contradicts this, as for each $Y \in c_1$, letting \bar{Y} be the transitive collapse of Y and letting $\bar{\beta}$ be the least completely Jónsson cardinal of \bar{Y} above α , $|\bar{Y} \cap \bar{\beta}| > \alpha$. Similarly, if b_2 is stationary, then c_2 gives a contradiction, as for each $Y \in c_2$, letting \bar{Y} be the transitive collapse of Y and letting $\bar{\beta}$ be the least completely Jónsson cardinal of \bar{Y} above α , $|\bar{Y} \cap \bar{\beta}| = \alpha$.

2.7 Exercise. Prove that if δ is a limit of completely Jónsson cardinals, then $\mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$ is not δ -c.c.. (Hint : what are the possibilities for $j(\omega_1)$?)

2.8 Example. If κ and δ are cardinals, $\alpha > \kappa$ is a limit ordinal and there exist δ many measurable cardinals in the interval (κ, α) , then $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\kappa$ is not δ -c.c.. To see this, let I be a set of δ many measurable cardinals in the interval (κ, δ) such that no member of I is a limit of members of I . For each λ in I , fix a stationary set A_λ consisting of points whose cofinality is the same as that of κ . By using our usual end-extension trick for measurable cardinals (Theorem 1.20 of the previous set of notes), one can show for each regular cardinal ρ in (κ, δ) , that for stationarily many elementary submodels X of V_ρ of cardinality κ , $\text{sup}(X \cap \lambda) \in A_\lambda$ for each λ in $I \cap X$. To make this work for a fixed λ , end-extend λ many times. By the stationarity of A_λ , some supremum was in the desired A_λ ; then take a hull of some cofinal sequence in this sup of cardinality κ .

Now, if each A_λ were also costationary on the same cofinality, for each λ in I the set b_λ of $X \prec V_{\lambda+}$ of cardinality κ for which λ is least in $X \cap I$ with

$\text{sup}(X \cap \lambda)$ not in A_λ is also stationary, by the same argument. Then the b_λ 's form an antichain.

2.9 Exercise. Let $\alpha > \omega$ be a limit ordinal, let \mathcal{D} be a subset of $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$, and let $\beta < \alpha$ be such that $\mathcal{D} \subseteq V_\beta$. Let a be the set of $X \subseteq V_{\beta+1}$ of cardinality less than $|V_\beta|$ such that $\mathcal{D} \in X$ and, for all $d \in \mathcal{D}$, $X \cap \bigcup d \notin d$. Then a is stationary if and only if \mathcal{D} is not predense in $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$, and, if a is stationary, it is incompatible with each element of \mathcal{D} . Show that the same construction works for each partial order of the form \mathbb{Q}_α^κ , requiring instead that $|X| = \kappa$.

2.10 Exercise. Let δ be a strongly inaccessible cardinal, let $\{a_\alpha : \alpha < \delta\}$ be an antichain in $\mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$, and let $C \subseteq \delta$ be a club set of limit ordinals. For each $\alpha < \delta$, let $b_\alpha = \{X \subseteq V_\gamma \mid X \cap \bigcup a_\alpha \in a_\alpha\}$, for γ minimal such that $\gamma \in C$ and $\bigcup a_\alpha \subseteq V_\gamma$. Then each a_α is equivalent to b_α as a condition in $\mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$, so $\mathcal{B} = \{b_\alpha : \alpha < \delta\}$ is an antichain. However, if $\kappa \in \delta \setminus C$, then $\mathcal{B} \cap \mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ is not predense in $\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$. (Hint : Let β be maximal element of C below κ and use the previous exercise.) Show that the same argument works for partial orders of the form $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}^\lambda$ with the appropriate changes.

3 Wellfoundedness

We say that a set Y *end-extends* a set X if $X \subseteq Y$ and $X = Y \cap V_\beta$, for β least such that $X \subseteq V_\beta$.

3.1 Definition. Let κ be a \beth -fixed point, and let D be a subset of $\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$. We let $\text{sp}(D)$ be the set of $X \prec V_{\kappa+1}$ of cardinality less than κ with $D \in X$ for which there exists a $Y \prec V_{\kappa+1}$ satisfying the following.

- $X \subseteq Y$;
- $Y \cap V_\kappa$ end-extends $X \cap V_\kappa$;
- for some $d \in D \cap Y$, $Y \cap (\bigcup d) \in d$.

We say that D is *semi-proper* if $\text{sp}(D)$ is club in $[V_\kappa]^{<\kappa}$.

Note that $\mathbb{Q}_{<\kappa}^\gamma \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$, and we can assume that $|Y| = |X|$, so we do not need a separate definition of semi-properness for $\mathbb{Q}_{<\kappa}^\gamma$.

3.2 Exercise. Show that if $\alpha > \omega$ is not a \beth -fixed point, and $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ contains an antichain not contained in $\mathbb{P}_{<\beta}$ for any $\beta < \alpha$, then there is a predense $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ which is not semi-proper.

3.3 Exercise. Let κ be a \beth -fixed point. Show that every semi-proper subset of $\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ is predense.

3.4 Exercise. Let κ be a \beth -fixed point. Show that every predense subset of $\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ in V_κ is semi-proper (with $X = Y$).

The following is the stationary tower version of Lemma 4.10 from the first set of notes.

Lemma 3.5. *Suppose that κ is a \beth -fixed point, and let D be a subset of $\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$. The following are equivalent.*

- D is semi-proper;
- For any regular cardinal $\lambda > \kappa$, and any $X \prec V_\lambda$ with $\kappa, D \in X$ and $|X| < \kappa$, there is a $Y \prec V_\lambda$ such that
 - $X \subseteq Y$;
 - $Y \cap V_\kappa$ end-extends $X \cap V_\kappa$;
 - $Y \cap (\bigcup d) \in d$ for some $d \in Y \cap D$.

Proof. The reverse direction follows from upwards projection of stationarity. For the forward direction, fix such an X as given, and let $W \prec V_{\kappa+1}$ be such that the following hold.

- $X \cap V_{\kappa+1} \subseteq W$;
- $W \cap V_\kappa$ end-extends $X \cap V_\kappa$;
- for some $d \in D \cap W$, $W \cap (\bigcup d) \in d$.

Now let Y be the set of values $f(a)$, for f a function in X with domain V_κ , and $a \in W \cap V_\kappa$. Then Y is as desired. To show that $Y \cap V_\kappa$ end-extends $X \cap V_\kappa$, note that every $f: V_\kappa \rightarrow V_\kappa$ in X is in $X \cap V_{\kappa+1}$ and thus in W . \square

3.6 Exercise. Verify the previous lemma in the case where we let λ be $\kappa + \omega$.

3.7 Exercise. Let α be a limit ordinal of uncountable cofinality, and let $C \subseteq \alpha$ be club. Let D be the set of elements $a \in \mathbb{P}_\alpha$ such that $X \cap C$ is cofinal in $X \cap \text{Ord}$ for every $X \in a$. Show that D is predense, and that $\kappa \in C$ for any κ for which $D \cap \mathbb{P}_\kappa$ is semi-proper. Show that the same holds for towers of the form $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^\lambda$.

Lemma 3.8. *Suppose that κ is a strongly inaccessible cardinal and γ is a cardinal below κ such that whenever $\{D_\alpha : \alpha < \gamma\}$ are predense subsets of $\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$, there exists a \beth -fixed point λ such that each $D_\alpha \cap V_\lambda$ is semi-proper. Then whenever $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ is a V -generic filter, $\text{Ult}(V, G)$ is closed under sequences of length γ .*

Proof. Let τ_α ($\alpha < \gamma$) be names for elements of $\text{Ult}(V, G)$. For each $\alpha < \gamma$, let D_α be the set of $b \in \mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ for which there exists a function f_b^α with domain b such that b forces τ_α to be the element of $\text{Ult}(V, U)$ represented by f_b^α . Then each D_α is predense. Fix $a \in \mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$, and, applying Exercise 3.7, fix λ as in the statement of the lemma with $a \in V_\lambda$. Let $\delta > \lambda$ be a regular cardinal. Let c be the set of $X \prec V_\delta$ for which

- $\lambda \in X$;

- $X \cap \bigcup a \in a$;
- for each $\alpha \in X \cap \gamma$ there exists a $b \in D_\alpha \cap X$ such that $X \cap \bigcup b \in b$.

Applying Lemma 3.5, we have that c is stationary, and $c \leq a$. For each $\alpha \in \gamma$, choose a function $h_\alpha: c \rightarrow V_\lambda$ such that for each $X \in C$ and each $\alpha \in X \cap \gamma$, $h_\alpha(X) \in D_\alpha \cap X$ and $X \cap \bigcup h_\alpha(X) \in h_\alpha(X)$. Define a function g on c by letting each $g(X)$ be the function with domain $\text{ot}(X \cap \gamma)$, such that whenever $\alpha \in X \cap \gamma$ and $\text{ot}(X \cap \alpha) = \beta$, $g(X)(\beta) = f_\alpha^{h_\alpha(X)}$.

Then g represents a function with domain γ , and it suffices to see that for each $\alpha < \gamma$, c forces that the α -th member of the sequence represented by g is equal to the realization of τ_α . To see that this holds, fix α , and fix a condition $d \leq c$. We may assume that $\alpha \in Y$ for all $Y \in d$, and by strengthening d if necessary (via normality) that $h_\alpha(Y \cap V_\lambda)$ is the same value b for all $Y \in d$. Since $X \cap \bigcup b \in b$ for all $X \in d$, $b \leq d$, so d forces that the realization of τ_α is represented by f_α^b . Now, the α -th member of the sequence represented by g is represented by the function g_α on c for which $g_\alpha(X)$ is the $\text{ot}(X \cap \alpha)$ -th member of $g(X)$, i.e., $f_\alpha^{h_\alpha(X)}$. It follows that $g_\alpha(Y \cap V_\lambda) = f_\alpha^b(Y \cap V_\lambda)$ for all $Y \in d$, and therefore that the α -th member of the sequence represented by g is equal to the realization of τ_α . \square

3.9 Exercise. Prove Lemma 3.8 for towers of the form $\mathbb{Q}_{<\kappa}^X$ and $\gamma \leq \chi$.

Theorem 3.10. *Suppose that δ is a Woodin cardinal, and let D_α ($\alpha < \delta$) be predense subsets of $\mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$. Then there is a measurable cardinal $\lambda < \delta$ such that $D_\alpha \cap V_\lambda$ is semi-proper for each $\alpha < \lambda$.*

Proof. Let $f: \delta \rightarrow \delta$ be a function such that

- for each $\alpha < \delta$, $f(\alpha)$ is a \beth -fixed point and each D_β ($\beta < f(\alpha)$) is predense in $\mathbb{P}_{<f(\alpha)}$;
- for each \beth -fixed point $\alpha < \delta$ and each $\beta < \alpha$, if $D_\beta \cap V_\alpha$ is not semi-proper, then there is an element of $D_\beta \cap V_{f(\alpha)}$ compatible with

$$[V_{\alpha+1}]^{<\alpha} \setminus \text{sp}(D_\beta \cap V_\alpha).$$

Applying Theorem 5.19 from the first set of notes, fix $\lambda < \delta$ and an elementary embedding $j: V \rightarrow M$ with critical point λ such that

- $j(f)(\lambda) = f(\lambda)$;
- $V_{f(\lambda)+\omega} \subseteq M$;
- $j(D_\alpha) \cap V_{f(\lambda)} = D_\alpha \cap V_{f(\lambda)}$ for all $\alpha < \lambda$;
- M is closed under sequences of length λ ;
- $j(\delta) = \delta$.

We want to see that each $D_\alpha \cap V_\lambda$ is semi-proper. Towards this end, fix an $\alpha < \lambda$. Let $a = [V_{\lambda+1}]^{<\lambda} \setminus \text{sp}(D_\alpha \cap V_\lambda)$. If $D_\alpha \cap V_\lambda$ is not semi-proper, then this holds in M also, and there is a condition $b \in j(D_\alpha) \cap V_{f(\lambda)}$ compatible with a in M . Then $b \in D_\alpha$, and a and b are compatible in V also.

Let X be an elementary submodel of V_δ with $X \cap \bigcup a \in a$, $X \cap \bigcup b \in b$ and the sets D_α , a , b , $j \upharpoonright V_{\lambda+1}$, $j(V_{\lambda+4})$ all in X . Since $\bigcup a = V_{\lambda+1}$ and each element of a has cardinality less than λ , $X \cap V_{\lambda+1}$ has cardinality less than λ . We have then that

- $j(X \cap V_{\lambda+1}) = j[X \cap V_{\lambda+1}]$;
- $j[X \cap V_{\lambda+1}] \in j(a)$;
- $j[X \cap V_{\lambda+1}] \notin j(\text{sp}(D_\alpha \cap V_\lambda))$;
- $j \upharpoonright (X \cap V_{\lambda+1}) \in M$.

Let \leq^* be a wellordering of $j(V_{\lambda+1})$ in both M and X . Since $j \upharpoonright V_{\lambda+1} \in X$, $j[X \cap V_{\lambda+1}] \subseteq X$. Let Y be the Skolem closure in $j(V_{\lambda+1})$ according to \leq^* of

$$\{a, b\} \cup j \upharpoonright (X \cap V_{\lambda+1}) \cup (X \cap (\bigcup a \cup \bigcup b)).$$

Since these set are all in M , Y is in M , and since they are all subsets of X , $Y \subseteq X$. We derive a contradiction by showing that Y witnesses in M that

$$j(X \cap V_{\lambda+1}) \in j(\text{sp}(D_\alpha \cap V_\lambda)).$$

Since the critical point of j is λ , and $Y \subseteq X$, Y end-extends $j(X \cap V_{\lambda+1})$ below $j(\lambda)$. We have already that $j(X \cap V_{\lambda+1}) \subseteq Y$. Finally, we have that $b \in Y$ and $X \cap \bigcup b \in b$. Since $j(\lambda) > f(\lambda)$, $b \in j(D_\alpha \cap V_\lambda)$. Therefore, $b \in Y \cap j(D_\alpha)$ and $Y \cap \bigcup b \in b$, finishing the proof. \square

Corollary 3.11. *Suppose that δ is a Woodin cardinal, $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$ is a V -generic filter and $j: V \rightarrow M$ is the corresponding elementary embedding. Then $j(\delta) = \delta$, M is closed under sequences of length less than δ and $V_\delta^M = V_\delta^{V[G]}$.*

Proof. That M is closed under sequences of length less than δ follows from Lemma 3.8 and Theorem 3.10. That $j(\delta) = \delta$ follows from the fact that δ is a limit of measurable cardinals, and thus a limit of completely Jónsson cardinals. It follows then that δ is strongly inaccessible in M . Since M is closed under sequences of length less than δ in $V[G]$, one can prove by induction on $\alpha < \delta$ that $V_\alpha^M = V_\alpha^{V[G]}$ for all $\alpha < \delta$. \square

3.12 Exercise. Prove that if δ is a Woodin cardinal, and A_α ($\alpha < \delta$) are antichains in $\mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$, then for densely many $b \in \mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$, the set of $a \in A_\alpha$ compatible with b has cardinality less than δ , for each $\alpha < \delta$.

3.13 Exercise. Prove Theorem 3.10 for $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}^\chi$ and D_α ($\alpha < \chi$), for any cardinal $\chi < \delta$. It follows then that generic ultrapower is closed under sequences of length χ . Prove the corresponding version of Exercise 3.12 for χ many antichains. Conclude then that $j(\chi^+) = \delta$, so $V_\delta^M = V_\delta^{V[G]}$.

It is an open question whether the image of the ordinals under the generic embedding induced by $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$ (for δ a Woodin cardinal) is independent of the generic filter, or whether it even can be.

3.14 Definition. Given cardinals κ and λ , κ is λ -*supercompact* if there exists an elementary embedding $j: V \rightarrow M$ with critical point κ such that $j(\kappa) > \lambda$ and M is closed under sequences of length λ .

3.15 Exercise. Prove that if κ is 2^κ -supercompact, then every predense subset of $\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ (or $\mathbb{Q}_{<\kappa}^\lambda$, for any cardinal $\lambda < \kappa$) is semi-proper. (Hint: Fix an embedding $j: V \rightarrow M$ witnessing that κ is 2^κ semiproper, and fix a regular cardinal $\eta > j(\kappa)$. Suppose that some predense $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ is not semi-proper, and let $a = [V_{\kappa+1}]^{<\kappa} \setminus \text{sp}(D)$. Find a $b \in j(D)$ compatible with a in $j(\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa})$ and an elementary submodel $Y \prec V_\eta^M$ in M with $Y \cap \bigcup a \in a$, $Y \cap \bigcup b \in b$ and $\{a, b, j \upharpoonright V_{\kappa+1}\} \in M$. Let $Y = X \cap V_{\kappa+1}$. Show that $j(Y) \in j(a)$ and that $X \cap j(V_{\kappa+1})$ contradicts this.

Exercise 3.15 holds for strongly compact cardinals as well.

4 Forcing applications

4.1 Remark. It is a classical forcing fact that if \mathbb{B} and \mathbb{C} are complete Boolean algebras, and there exists a \mathbb{B} -name σ for a V -generic filter for \mathbb{C} with the property that for each $A \in \mathbb{C}$, $\llbracket \dot{A} \in \sigma \rrbracket \neq 0_{\mathbb{B}}$, then there is a \mathbb{C} -name τ for a complete Boolean algebra such that \mathbb{B} is forcing-equivalent to $\mathbb{C} * \tau$. To see this, let $\pi: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}$ be the embedding defined by letting $\pi(A) = \llbracket \dot{A} \in \sigma \rrbracket$. Let τ be a \mathbb{C} -name for the Boolean algebra formed by taking the quotient of \mathbb{B} by the π -image of the complement of the generic filter. That is, let τ be such that whenever G is V -generic for \mathbb{C} , τ_G is the set of equivalence classes of the relation \sim on \mathbb{B} defined by setting $E \sim F$ if and only if $E \triangle F \leq \pi(A)$ for some $A \in \mathbb{C} \setminus G$. Then conditions in $\mathbb{C} * \tau$ can be represented as pairs (A, ρ_E) , where $A \in \mathbb{C}$, $E \in \mathbb{B}$, $E \cap \pi(A') \neq 0_{\mathbb{B}}$ for all $A' \leq A$ in \mathbb{C} and ρ_E is a \mathbb{C} -name for the \sim -class of E . We can map such pairs into \mathbb{B} by setting $\nu(A, \rho_E) = E \cap \pi(A)$.

4.2 Exercise. Show that the embedding ν defined in Remark 4.1 maps V -generic filters for $\mathbb{C} * \tau$ to V -generic filters for \mathbb{B} , and that ν^{-1} does the reverse.

4.3 Remark. If P and Q are partial orders, and forcing with \mathbb{Q} makes $\mathcal{P}(P)^V$ countable, then there is a Q -name for a V -generic filter for P with the property that every condition in P is forced by some condition in Q to be in this filter. By Remark 4.1, then, there is a P -name τ for a partial order such that $P * \tau$ is forcing equivalent to Q .

By Remark 1.20, or the last part of Remark 1.13, if P is a partial order in V_α (for α a limit ordinal greater than ω), then forcing with $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}$ makes $\mathcal{P}(P)^V$ countable, as does forcing with $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ below the condition $[\mathcal{P}(P)]^{\aleph_0}$.

4.4 Exercise. Show that every set which is generic over L exists (in a forcing extension) in a class sized model of $V=L$. While the model must be illfounded

if the set in question is not in L , the model can be made wellfounded up to any desired ordinal, though its ω_1 will be illfounded if it is an generic ultrapower of L via a partial order of the form $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}$.

The following theorem is a central part of Woodin's theory of Ω -logic. One interesting application of the theorem is the case where there exists exactly one huge cardinal or extendible cardinal or cardinal κ which is 2^κ -supercompact, and Q makes this cardinal countable.

Theorem 4.5. *Suppose that δ is a Woodin cardinal, $\alpha < \delta$, P and Q are partial orders in V_δ and P forces that $V_\alpha \models T$, for some theory T . Then there is a Q -name τ for a partial order such that, in the $Q * \tau$ extension there is an ordinal β such that $V_\beta \models T$.*

Proof. By Remark 4.3, there is a Q -name τ_0 such that $Q * \tau_0$ is forcing-equivalent to the partial order $\mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$ below $[\mathcal{P}(Q)]^{\aleph_0}$. Let $G \subset \mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$ be a V -generic filter with $[\mathcal{P}(Q)]^{\aleph_0} \in G$, and let $j: V \rightarrow M$ be the corresponding embedding. Then $j(\delta) = \delta$, and, by Corollary 3.11, $V_\delta^M = V_\delta^{V[G]}$. In M , $j(P)$ forces that $V_{j(\alpha)}$ models T . Since δ is strongly inaccessible in $V[G]$, in $V[G]$ also $j(P)$ forces that $V_{j(\alpha)}$ models T . \square

4.6 Example. Suppose that $\gamma < \lambda < \kappa$ are regular cardinals below a Woodin cardinal κ . Forcing with $\mathbb{P}_{<\kappa}$ below the condition $b = \{\alpha < \lambda \mid \text{cof}(\alpha) = \gamma\}$, we get that $j[\cup b] \in j(b)$, i.e., that in M , $j[\lambda]$ is an ordinal below $j(\lambda)$ of cofinality $j(\gamma)$. This means that the critical point of j is λ , and that $\text{cof}(\lambda) = \gamma$ in M . Furthermore, if α is such that $2^\alpha < \lambda$, then all subsets of α in M are in V . Since $V_\kappa^M = V_\kappa^{V[G]}$, these facts hold in $V[G]$ also.

4.7 Example. Suppose that κ is a measurable cardinal, and let $\lambda > \kappa$ be a regular cardinal. Let a be the set of $X \prec V_\kappa$ such that $\text{ot}(X \cap \kappa)$ and such that the transitive collapse of X is constructible from a real. Then a is stationary. To see this, fix a regular cardinal $\lambda > \kappa$ and a function $F: V_\kappa^{<\omega} \rightarrow V_\kappa$. Let $Y \prec V_\lambda$ be countable with κ and F in Y , and let U be a normal uniform ultrafilter on κ in Y . Let M be the transitive collapse of X . As in Theorem 1.20 from the first set of notes, we can successively produce Y_α ($\alpha \leq \kappa$) such that $Y_0 = Y$ and each $Y_{\alpha+1}$ has the form $Y_\alpha[\gamma]$ for some $\gamma \in \bigcap (Y_\alpha \cap U)$, taking unions at limits. Then Y_κ will be an elementary submodel of V_λ with $\text{ot}(Y_\kappa \cap \kappa) = \kappa$, and Y_κ will be closed under F . Furthermore, letting M_α ($\alpha \leq \kappa$) be the transitive collapse of Y_α , the sequence $\langle M_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa \rangle$ is the length κ -iteration of M by the image of U under the transitive collapse of Y . It follows that each M_α is in $L[M]$, including the transitive collapse of Y_κ .

Let $\delta > \lambda$ be a Woodin cardinal. Forcing with $\mathbb{P}_{<\delta}$ below a then produces a real from which V_κ^V is constructible. The associated elementary embedding maps κ to κ , so κ is still measurable in M and thus in $V[G]$.

5 Factoring

Lemma 5.1. *Suppose that δ is a Woodin cardinal, and $\alpha > \delta$ is a limit ordinal. Let a be the set of $X \prec V_{\delta+1}$ such that for every predense $D \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$ in X there exists a $d \in X \cap D$ with $X \cap \bigcup d \in d$. Then a is stationary, and a is compatible with every element of $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$. Furthermore, if $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ is a V -generic filter, then $a \in G$ if and only if $G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$ is a V -generic filter for $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$.*

Proof. To show that a is stationary and compatible with every element of $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$, fix a set $b \in \mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$, a function $F: V_{\delta+1}^{<\omega} \rightarrow V_{\delta+1}$, a regular cardinal $\lambda > \delta$ and a countable $X \prec V_\lambda$ with $F \in X$ and $X \cap \bigcup b \in b$. Fix a bijection $\pi: \omega \rightarrow \omega \times \omega$ such that $\pi(i) \in (i+1)^2$ for all $i \in \omega$, and let π_0 and π_1 be functions on ω such that $\pi(n) = (\pi_0(n), \pi_1(n))$ for all $n \in \omega$. Recursively build a sequence $\langle X_\alpha : \alpha \leq \omega \rangle$ of countable elementary submodels of V_λ , a sequence $\langle e_i : i < \omega \rangle$ and an increasing sequence of ordinals $\langle \xi_i : i < \omega \rangle$ such that

1. $X_0 = X$;
2. $b \in V_{\xi_0}$;
3. for each $i < \omega$ e_i is a surjection from ω onto the predense subsets of $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$ in X_i ;
4. for all $i < j < \omega$, $X_i \cap V_{\xi_i} = X_j \cap V_{\xi_i}$;
5. for each $i < \omega$, for some $d \in e_{\pi_0(i)}(\pi_1(i))$, $X_{\alpha+1} \cap \bigcup d \in d$;
6. $X_\omega = \bigcup_{i < \omega} X_i$.

To achieve item (5), note that by Theorem 3.10, there exists in X_i an ordinal ξ , greater than ξ_j for all $j < i$, such that $e_{\pi_0(i)}(\pi_1(i)) \cap \mathbb{P}_{<\xi}$ is semi-proper.

For the last part of the theorem, suppose that $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ is a V -generic filter. Then $a \in G$ if and only if $j[\bigcup a] \in j(a)$ if and only if $j[V_{\delta+1}] \in j(a)$ if and only if, in M , for each predense $D \subseteq j(\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta})$ in $j[V_{\delta+1}]$ there is a $d \in D \cap j[V_{\delta+1}]$ with $j[V_{\delta+1}] \cap \bigcup d \in d$ if and only if for each predense $D \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{\delta+1}$ there is a $d \in D$ such that $j[V_{\delta+1}] \cap \bigcup j(d) \in j(d)$. Finally, note that

$$j[V_{\delta+1}] \cap \bigcup j(d) = j[V_{\delta+1}] \cap j(\bigcup d) = j[\bigcup d],$$

and $j[\bigcup d] \in d$ if and only if $d \in G$. □

5.2 Remark. In the previous lemma, $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ can be replaced with a partial order of the form $\mathbb{Q}_{<\alpha}^k$.

5.3 Exercise. Suppose that $\langle \delta_i : i < \omega \rangle$ is an increasing sequence of Woodin cardinals, with supremum λ . Let a be the set of $X \prec V_{\lambda+1}$ of cardinality less than δ_0 such that $\langle \delta_i : i < \omega \rangle \in X$, and for all $i < \omega$ and every predense $D \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\delta_i}$ in X there exists a $d \in X \cap D$ with $X \cap \bigcup d \in d$. Show that a is stationary.

5.4 Remark. Suppose that $\alpha < \beta$ are limit ordinals greater than ω , and that $G \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ is a V -generic filter such that $G \cap \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ is V -generic for $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$. Let $j_\alpha: V \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, G \cap \mathbb{P}_\alpha)$ and $j_\beta: V \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, G)$ be the corresponding elementary embeddings. Then the embedding $k: \text{Ult}(V, G \cap \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}) \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, G)$ defined by setting $k([f]_{G \cap \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}}) = [f]_G$ is elementary, and has critical point at least α . A similar fact holds for partial orders of the form $\mathbb{Q}_{<\beta}^\kappa$.

6 Absoluteness for the Chang Model

The *Chang Model* is $L(\text{Ord}^\omega)$, the constructible closure of the class of all countable sequences of ordinals. It is a model of $\text{ZF} + \text{DC}$, but not necessarily a model of the Axiom of Choice, as shown by Kunen. Solovay's theorem on collapsing a strongly inaccessible cardinal applies to the Chang Model, showing the following.

Theorem 6.1 (Solovay). *If κ is a strongly inaccessible cardinal, then after forcing with $\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$, every set of reals in the Chang Model is Lebesgue measurable, and satisfies the perfect set property and the property of Baire.*

Theorem 6.2. *Suppose that δ is a Woodin limit of Woodin cardinals. Then in a forcing extension there is an elementary embedding from the Chang Model of V to the Chang Model of a forcing extension of V by $\text{Col}(\omega, <\delta)$.*

Proof. Let $G \subseteq \mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$ be a V -generic filter, and let $j: V \rightarrow M$ be the corresponding elementary embedding. Then M and $V[G]$ have the same Chang Model, and j maps the Chang Model of V to the Chang Model of M . Working in $V[G]$, let P be the partial order consisting of V -generic filters for partial orders of the form $\text{Col}(\omega, <\alpha)$, for some $\alpha < \delta$, ordered by extension. Since $\delta = \omega_1^{V[G]}$ and δ is strongly inaccessible in V , there exist such generic filters for each such α .

Let H be $V[G]$ -generic for P . It suffices to see that H is V -generic for $\text{Col}(\omega, <\delta)$, and that $V[G]$ and $V[H]$ have the same Chang Model. That H is V -generic for $\text{Col}(\omega, <\delta)$ follows from the fact that if $D \subseteq \text{Col}(\omega, <\delta)$ is predense and $h \subseteq \text{Col}(\omega, <\alpha)$ is V -generic, for some $\alpha < \delta$, then $p \cap \text{Col}(\omega, <\alpha) \in h$ for some $p \in D$. Fixing $\beta < \delta$ such that $p \in \text{Col}(\omega, <\beta)$, we have that $\text{Col}(\omega, <\beta)$ is isomorphic to $\text{Col}(\omega, <\alpha) \times \text{Col}(\omega, <[\alpha, \beta))$, which means that there is a V -generic filter $h' \subseteq \text{Col}(\omega, <\beta)$ extending h with $p \in h'$. By genericity, then, $H \cap D$ is nonempty.

To see that $V[G]$ and $V[H]$ have the same Chang Model, note first of all that $\delta = \omega_1^{V[H]}$, which means that every countable set of ordinals in $V[H]$ is in $V[H \cap \text{Col}(\omega, \alpha)]$ for some $\alpha < \delta$, and thus in $V[G]$. For the reverse inclusion, suppose that x is a countable set of ordinals in $V[G]$, and that $h \in V[G]$ is V -generic for $\text{Col}(\omega, <\alpha)$, for some $\alpha < \delta$. By Lemma 5.1, and the fact that $\delta = \omega_1^{V[G]}$, we may fix a $\beta < \delta$ such that h and x are in $V[G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{<\beta}]$. By Remark 4.1, there is a $\text{Col}(\omega, <\alpha)$ -name τ such that $\text{Col}(\omega, <\alpha) * \tau$ is forcing equivalent to $\mathbb{Q}_{<\beta}$. Moreover, using a fixed $\mathbb{Q}_{<\beta}$ -name ρ for which $h = \rho_{G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{<\beta}}$, we may (applying the proof of Remark 4.1) choose τ so that $V[G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{<\beta}]$ is a generic

extension of $V[h]$ via τ . Furthermore, there exist an ordinal $\gamma < \delta$ and a τ -name $\sigma \in V[h]$ such that, in $V[h]$, $\tau * \sigma$ is forcing equivalent to $\text{Col}(\omega, <[\alpha, \gamma])$. As $(2^\gamma)^{V[h]}$ is countable in $V[G]$, there exists in $V[G]$ a $V[h]$ -generic filter $h' \subseteq \text{Col}(\omega, <[\alpha, \gamma])$ such that $G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{<\beta} \in V[h, h']$. \square

The following classical theorem is due to McAloon.

6.3 Exercise. Show that if P is a partial order such that forcing with P makes P countable, then P is forcing-equivalent to $\text{Col}(\omega, P)$. (Hint : Fix a P -name τ for a bijection between ω and the generic filter. Recursively build a function $\pi: \text{Col}(\omega, P) \rightarrow P$ in such a way that $\pi(\emptyset) = 1_P$, and, for all $a \in \text{Col}(\omega, P)$, $\pi[\{a \cup (|a|, p) : p \in P\}]$ is a maximal antichain below $\pi(a)$ and $\pi(a)$ decides $\tau \upharpoonright |a|$. To see that the range of π is dense in P , fix $p \in P$ and a condition $p' \leq p$ forcing that $\tau(p) = n$, for some $n \in \omega$. Fix $a \in \text{Col}(\omega, P)$ of length greater than n such that $\pi(a)$ and p' are compatible, and show that $\pi(a) \leq p$.)

6.4 Remark. The previous exercise shows that for any cardinal κ , and any partial order P of cardinality less than κ , $P \times \text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$ is forcing-equivalent to $\text{Col}(\omega, <\kappa)$ (as for any $\gamma > |P|$, $\text{Col}(\omega, <\{\gamma\})$ can be replaced with $P \times \text{Col}(\omega, <\{\gamma\})$). Combined with Theorem 6.2, this shows that if δ is a Woodin limit of Woodin cardinals, then no forcing of cardinality less than δ can change the theory of the Chang Model. The large cardinal hypothesis required for this result is much weaker. It suffices to assume that δ is a limit of Woodin cardinals, and that there is a measurable cardinal above δ , and even this can be weakened.

6.5 Exercise. Suppose that $\langle \delta_\alpha : \alpha \leq \omega \rangle$ are Woodin cardinals, listed in increasing order, and let $\lambda = \sup\{\delta_i : i < \omega\}$. Show that the $L(\mathbb{R})$ of V is elementarily equivalent to a model of the form $L(\mathbb{R}^*)$ in a forcing extension of V by $\text{Col}(\omega, <\lambda)$, where \mathbb{R}^* is the set of reals existing in models of the form $V[G \cap \text{Col}(\omega, <\gamma)]$ for some $\gamma < \lambda$, where G is the generic filter for $\text{Col}(\omega, <\lambda)$. (Hint : Force with $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta_\omega}$ below the condition a from Exercise 5.3. For each $i < \omega$, let $j_i: V \rightarrow M_i$ be the embedding induced by $G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{<\delta_i}$. Let N be the direct limit of the models M_i ($i \in \omega$) via the factor embeddings defined in Remark 5.4. Show that N embeds into M_ω , and is therefore wellfounded. Now mimic the proof of Theorem 6.2 to show that the $L(\mathbb{R})$ of N is the same as a model of the form $L(\mathbb{R}^*)$ of a forcing extension of V by $\text{Col}(\omega, <\lambda)$.)

7 $\mathbb{R}^\#$

Our next goal is to sketch a proof of the fact that, assuming sufficiently many Woodin cardinals, every set of reals is weakly homogeneously Suslin. This will be useful in the development of \mathbb{P}_{\max} . We will sketch the relationship between this fact and Woodin's theorem that the existence of infinitely many Woodin cardinals below a measurable implies that the Axiom of Determinacy holds in $L(\mathbb{R})$. This will involve some black boxes, however. The first of these is the set $\mathbb{R}^\#$.

Very briefly, given a set of reals A such that $L(A) \cap \mathbb{R} = A$, $A^\#$ is a complete, consistent theory in the language of set theory expanded by adding constant symbols c_x for each $x \in A$ and constant symbols i_n ($n \in \omega$) which represent ordinal indiscernibles. This theory in effect gives a recipe which for any ordinal α builds a model $\Gamma(A^\#, \alpha)$ of $ZF + V=L(\mathbb{R})$ whose reals are exactly A , where we have α many ordinals playing the role of the indiscernibles. Note that in $L(\mathbb{R})$, every set is definable from a finite set of reals and ordinals, and for each fixed finite set of reals a the class of sets ordinal definable from a has a definable wellordering. In the models $\Gamma(A^\#, \alpha)$, every set is definable from a finite set indiscernibles and elements of A , and the theory $A^\#$ explicitly defines the relations \in and $=$ on these terms.

Let us black box the following facts about $A^\#$.

- If U is a normal uniform measure on a cardinal κ , then there is a set $X \in U$ such that for each $n \in \omega$, any two increasing n -tuples from X satisfy the same formulas in $L_\kappa(\mathbb{R})$, allowing constants for real numbers. The theory satisfied by the finite tuples from X is $\mathbb{R}^\#$ (in fact, a completely Jónsson cardinal is enough).
- There is a Δ_0 formula ϕ such that, for any set of reals A for which $A = \mathbb{R} \cap L(A)$, $A^\#$ is the unique set $B \subseteq A$ such that
 - $\langle A, \{B\}, \in \rangle \models \phi(B)$;
 - for all countable ordinals α , $\Gamma(B, \alpha)$ is wellfounded.
- In each model $\Gamma(A^\#, \alpha)$, the indiscernibles form a club of ordertype α , and for all cardinals $\kappa > |A|$, the ordinal height of $\Gamma(\mathbb{R}^\#, \kappa)$ is κ , and κ is an indiscernible of $\Gamma(A^\#, \alpha)$ for all $\alpha > \kappa$.
- Every set of real in $L(A)$ is definable from $A^\#$ and a finite sequence of elements of A .

Since $\mathbb{R}^\#$ is a definable element of the Chang model, we have that if δ is a Woodin limit of Woodin cardinals, if $V[G]$ is any generic extension via a partial order of cardinality less than δ , then $(\mathbb{R}^\#)^V \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^\#)^{V[G]}$.

Now suppose that λ is the limit of an increasing sequence of Woodin cardinals $\langle \delta_i : i < \omega \rangle$, and that $\alpha > \lambda$ is a limit ordinal. Let a be the set of countable $X \prec V_{\lambda+1}$ such that for all $i < \omega$, and every predense $D \subset \mathbb{Q}_{<\delta_i}$ in X , there is a $d \in X \cap \bigcup D$ such that $X \cap \bigcup d \in d$. Then $a \in \mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$, and a forces in $\mathbb{P}_{<\alpha}$ that $G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{<\delta_i}$ is V -generic for each $i < \omega$.

The generic filter G then gives a sequence of embeddings $j_i : V \rightarrow M_i$, each induced by $G \cap \mathbb{Q}_{<\delta_i}$, with factor embeddings and limit model N . Adapting the argument above for the Chang Model, one can force over $V[G]$ to find a V -generic $H \subset \text{Col}(\omega, \lambda)$ such that $\mathbb{R}^N = \bigcup \{ \mathbb{R}^{V[H \cap \text{Col}(\omega, \alpha)]} : \alpha < \lambda \}$. Assuming enough wellfoundedness for N (which holds if α is Woodin or even completely Jónsson or less), we get that $(\mathbb{R}^\#)^N = (\mathbb{R}^N)^\#$. Again, this gives us that whenever $V[G]$ is any generic extension via a partial order of cardinality less than λ , then $(\mathbb{R}^\#)^V \subseteq (\mathbb{R}^\#)^{V[G]}$.

8 Towers of measures

8.1 Definition. A *tower of measures* is a sequence $\langle \mu_i : i \in \omega \rangle$ such that, for some fixed set Z ,

- each μ_i is an ultrafilter on Z^i ;
- for all $i < j < \omega$ and all $A \subseteq Z^i$, $A \in \mu_i$ if and only if

$$\{\sigma \in Z^j \mid \sigma \upharpoonright i \in A\} \in \mu_j.$$

Note that μ_0 is always the trivial ultrafilter on \emptyset .

A tower of measures $\bar{\mu} = \langle \mu_i : i < \omega \rangle$ gives rise to a sequence of elementary embeddings $j_i: V \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, \mu_i)$, and for each $i_0 < i_1 < \omega$ there is an elementary factor embedding $k_{i_0, i_1}: \text{Ult}(V, \mu_{i_0}) \rightarrow \text{Ult}(V, \mu_{i_1})$ defined by setting $k_{i_0, i_1}([f]_{\mu_0}) = [f']_{\mu_1}$, where $f'(\sigma) = f(\sigma \upharpoonright i_0)$ for all $\sigma \in Z^{i_1}$ (and Z is such that each μ_i concentrates on Z^i).

The direct limit of the embeddings k_{i_0, i_1} gives rise to a limit model $\text{Ult}(V, \bar{\mu})$, whose elements are represented by functions $f: Z^i \rightarrow V$, for some $i < \omega$. For a relation $R \in \{\in, =\}$, we set $[f]_{\bar{\mu}} R [g]_{\bar{\mu}}$ if and only if

$$\{\sigma \in Z^{i_2} \mid f(\sigma \upharpoonright i_0) R g(\sigma \upharpoonright i_1)\} \in \mu_{i_2},$$

for $f: Z^{i_0} \rightarrow V$, $g: Z^{i_1} \rightarrow V$ and $i_2 = \max\{i_0, i_1\}$.

8.2 Definition. A tower of measures $\langle \mu_i : i < \omega \rangle$ is said to be *countably complete* if, for each sequence $\langle A_i : i \in \omega \rangle$ such that each $A_i \in \mu_i$, there is a sequence σ of length ω such that $\sigma \upharpoonright i \in A_i$ for all $i \in \omega$. We call such a σ a *thread* through $\langle A_i : i < \omega \rangle$.

8.3 Exercise. Suppose that $\langle \mu_i : i < \omega \rangle$ is a countably complete tower of measures. Show that each μ_i is countably complete, which implies that $\text{Ult}(V, \mu_i)$ is wellfounded. Show furthermore that $\text{Ult}(V, \bar{\mu})$ is wellfounded.

Lemma 8.4. *If $\langle \mu_i : i < \omega \rangle$ is a tower of measures which is not countably complete, then $\text{Ult}(V, \bar{\mu})$ is not wellfounded*

Proof. By Exercise 1.9 from the first set of notes, a failure of countable completeness for any μ_i gives that $\text{Ult}(V, \mu_i)$ is illfounded, which implies that $\text{Ult}(V, \bar{\mu})$ is illfounded since $\text{Ult}(V, \mu_i)$ embeds into it. So assume that each μ_i is countably complete and fix $\langle A_i : i < \omega \rangle$ such that each $A_i \in \mu_i$ but there is no thread through $\langle A_i : i < \omega \rangle$. Replacing each A_i with $\bigcap_{n \in \omega \setminus i} \{\sigma \upharpoonright i \mid \sigma \in A_n\}$ we may assume that for all $i_0 < i_1 < \omega$ and all $\sigma \in A_{i_1}$, $\sigma \upharpoonright i_0 \in A_{i_0}$. Then $T = \bigcup_{i \in \omega} A_i$ is a wellfounded tree, and there is a function f on T defined by letting each $f(\sigma)$ be the least ordinal greater than $f(\tau)$ for all $\tau \in T$ properly extending σ (i.e., a *rank function* on T). For each $i < \omega$, let $g_i: Z^i \rightarrow V$ (where each μ_i is an ultrafilter on Z^i) be such that $g_i(\sigma) = f(\sigma)$ for all $\sigma \in A_i$. Then the functions g_i ($i \in \omega$) represent a descending ω -sequence in $\text{Ult}(V, \bar{\mu})$. \square

8.5 Definition. Given a cardinal κ , a set $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is κ -homogeneously Suslin if, for some set Z , there is a set $\{\mu_s \mid s \in \omega^{<\omega}\}$ such that

- each μ_s is a κ -complete ultrafilter on $Z^{|s|}$;
- A is the set of $x \in \omega^\omega$ for which $\langle \mu_{x \upharpoonright i} : i < \omega \rangle$ is a countably complete tower.

We say that A is *homogeneously Suslin* if it is \aleph_1 -homogeneously Suslin. We say that A is $<\kappa$ -homogeneously Suslin if it is γ -homogeneously Suslin for all $\gamma < \kappa$.

8.6 Definition. Given a cardinal κ , a set $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is κ -weakly homogeneously Suslin if, for some set Z , there is a set $\{\mu_{s,t} \mid s, t \in \omega^{<\omega}\}$ such that

- each $\mu_{s,t}$ is a κ -complete ultrafilter on $Z^{|s|}$;
- A is the set of $x \in \omega^\omega$ for which there exists a $y \in \omega^\omega$ such that

$$\langle \mu_{x \upharpoonright i, y \upharpoonright i} : i < \omega \rangle$$

is a countably complete tower.

We say that A is *weakly homogeneously Suslin* if it is \aleph_1 -homogeneously Suslin. We say that A is $<\kappa$ -weakly homogeneously Suslin if it is γ -homogeneously Suslin for all $\gamma < \kappa$.

We will black box the following facts, which together give the relationship between Woodin cardinals and determinacy in the projective hierarchy (i.e., that the existence of n Woodin cardinals below a measurable cardinal implies that all \prod_{n+1}^1 sets are determined). The Martin-Steel theorem also shows that if λ is a limit of Woodin cardinals, then the $<\lambda$ -weakly homogeneously Suslin sets are exactly the $<\lambda$ -homogeneously Suslin sets.

Theorem 8.7 (Martin). *If κ is a measurable cardinal, then coanalytic sets are κ -homogeneously Suslin.*

Theorem 8.8 (Martin). *Homogeneously Suslin subsets of ω^ω are determined.*

Theorem 8.9 (Martin-Steel). *If δ is a Woodin cardinal and $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is weakly homogeneously Suslin, then $\omega^\omega \setminus A$ is $<\delta$ -homogeneously Suslin.*

8.10 Definition. For our purposes, a *tree* on a set X is a set of finite sequences from X , closed under initial segments. Given a set X and a tree T on $\omega \times X$, the *projection* of T , $p[T]$, is the set of $x \in \omega^\omega$ such that for some $y \in X^\omega$, $(x \upharpoonright n, y \upharpoonright n) \in T$ for all $n \in \omega$.

8.11 Definition. Given a cardinal κ , a set $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is κ -universally Baire if for some ordinal γ there are trees S and T on $\omega \times \gamma$ such that $A = p[S]$ and, in all forcing extensions by partial orders of cardinality at most κ , $p[S] = \omega^\omega \setminus p[T]$. We say that A is $<\kappa$ -universally Baire if it is λ -universally Baire for all $\lambda < \kappa$, and *universally Baire* if it is κ -universally Baire for all cardinals κ .

8.12 Remark. By McAloon’s result, the definition of κ -universally Baire does not change if one replaces “by partial orders of cardinality at most κ ” with $\text{Col}(\omega, \kappa)$.

The next two theorems show that when λ is a limit of Woodin cardinals, the $<\lambda$ -weakly homogeneously Suslin subsets of ω^ω are exactly the $<\lambda$ -universally Baire sets. The second of these theorems uses the stationary tower.

Theorem 8.13 (Martin-Solovay). *If κ is a cardinal, and $A \subseteq \omega^\omega$ is κ -weakly homogeneously Suslin, then A is $<\kappa$ -universally Baire.*

Theorem 8.14 (Woodin). *Suppose that δ is a Woodin cardinal and S, T are trees on $\omega \times \gamma$, for some ordinal γ such that S and T project to complements in all generic extensions via $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$. Then $p[S]$ is $<\delta$ -weakly homogeneously Suslin.*

The following theorem is known as the *Tree Production Lemma*. It is our primary means of showing that sets of reals are universally Baire. Note that whenever r is subset of V which exists in a set generic extension of V , $V[r]$ is also a set-generic extension of V (via the complete Boolean subalgebra generated by the terms $\llbracket x \in \tau \rrbracket$, for each x in some superset of r in V , and a name τ giving rise to r). The proof of the Lemma uses the stationary tower.

Theorem 8.15 (Woodin). *Suppose that δ is a Woodin cardinal. Let ϕ and ψ be binary formulas, let x and y be sets, and assume that the empty condition in $\mathbb{Q}_{<\delta}$ forces that for each real number r ,*

$$M \models \phi(r, j(y)) \Leftrightarrow V[r] \models \psi(r, x),$$

where $j: V \rightarrow M$ is the induced embedding. Then there exist trees S and T on $\omega \times \gamma$, for some ordinal γ such that $p[S] = \{r \in R \mid \phi(r, y)\}$ and S and T project to complements in any forcing extension via $\text{Col}(\omega, <\delta)$.

Let us consider the Tree Production Lemma in the context where there exist $\omega + 1$ many Woodin cardinals above δ (or just ω many plus a measurable cardinal). Let λ be the limit of the first ω Woodin cardinals above δ . Let $\phi(y)$ be the formula “ $r \in \mathbb{R}^\#$ ”, and let ψ be the formula “ $r \in (\mathbb{R}^*)^\#$ after forcing with $\text{Col}(\omega, \lambda)$ ”. The arguments given above show that the hypotheses of the Tree Production Lemma are satisfied, and thus that $(\mathbb{R}^\#)^V$ is $<\delta$ -universally Baire. Since we could apply the same argument for each Woodin cardinal below λ , we have that $(\mathbb{R}^\#)^V$ is $<\delta$ -universally Baire. Since every set of reals is a continuous preimage (in some sense just a projection) of $\mathbb{R}^\#$, we have that each such set is $<\delta$ -universally Baire, and thus $<\delta$ -homogeneously Suslin.

The Tree Production Lemma is also used to show that if λ is a limit of Woodin cardinals, then the $\text{Col}(\omega, <\lambda)$ -extension contains inner models satisfying determinacy.